Cont.	i,	Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)
Sent: To:	3/21/2019 6:40:49 PM	orus]
Subject:	COLLINS Jay [Jay.COLLINS@state RE: SoloPower followup	e.or.usj
Subject.	NE. Solor ower Tollowup	
Thanks for	the input.	Ex. 7(E)
		7(E)
From: COL	LINS Jay <jay.collins@state.or.u< td=""><td>16></td></jay.collins@state.or.u<>	16>
	nesday, March 20, 2019 4:25 PM	43 <i>7</i>
To:	Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)	
\		; WHEELER Sarah <sarah.wheeler@state.or.us>; BATES Zebuliah</sarah.wheeler@state.or.us>
	Bates@state.or.us>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Subject: RE	E: SoloPower followup	
Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(0		
	!	
	·-·:	
		t called measked about the last line of my Inspection Report before the
Attachmen	ts section. It should read: "(LIT In	dustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correc
Attachmen and re-issu	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me	dustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant actior	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain ns in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant actior	dustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plair
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant actior	edustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plair in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes!
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant actior	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain ns in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant actior	edustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct eet". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes!
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo abandonin	its section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do not action g this facility and not abating any	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to correct et". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E)
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo abandonin to officials	its section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do not action g this facility and not abating any	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's respo abandonin	its section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do NOT me is the report to say "do not action g this facility and not abating any	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsible abandoning to officials	ets section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant action g this facility and not abating any Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsible abandoning to officials	its section. It should read: "(LIT In ie the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant action g this facility and not abating any Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County.	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsionabandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedito: COLLIN	ets section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant action g this facility and not abating any Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay <jay.collins@state.or.us></jay.collins@state.or.us>	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and I did not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsionabandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedito: COLLIN	ets section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant action g this facility and not abating any Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plain in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and it I did not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsible abandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedito: COLLIN Subject: RE	ets section. It should read: "(LIT In the the report to say "do NOT me nsible if not fully compliant action g this facility and not abating any Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay <jay.collins@state.or.us></jay.collins@state.or.us>	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and I did not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsible abandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedit To: COLLIN Subject: RE	Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us > E: SoloPower followup Fhanks for explaining.	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and a lidid not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsion abandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedra To: COLLIN Subject: RETACT That's ok. That's o	Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) resday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us Ex. 7(E) Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) Resday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) Resday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and a lidid not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsible abandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Weding Colling Subject: RETAILS ok. That's ok. That	Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM ES SoloPower followup LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us Ex. 7(E) LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) Desday, March 20, 2019 3:53 PM	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and a lidid not get a timeframe on this.
Attachmen and re-issu LIT's responsion abandoning to officials Jay From: Sent: Wedit To: COLLIN Subject: RETOLLIN Subject: RETOLLIN Subject: RETOLLIN Subject: Wedit To: COLLIN Sent: COLL	Ex. 7(E) representing Multnomah County. Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) nesday, March 20, 2019 4:03 PM IS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us > Ex. 5 (PP / Ex. 7(C) LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us > Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us > Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) LINS Jay < Jay. COLLINS@state.or.us > Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)	idustrial's actions do meet the criteria for SNC status.)". I am going to corrected". This is embarrassing. In inserting this section I was trying to make plains in cleaning up the problem from SoloPower System's actions in hazards nor managing their hazardous wastes! Ex. 7(E) He said he would be getting that final cost evaluation to me, to you, and a lidid not get a timeframe on this.

A while back, Nick asked me if there was anything else. I asked him about BES' follow up on that and the contents of the unit with the downspout pipe in the lot.

Thank you for asking.

He immediately contacted LIT Industrial. They replied with photographs taken inside the unit. It was a chiller. No product storage of any kind was observed. They say that only non-contact condensate went down this water line. LIT Industrial agreed to cement this connection closed once the chiller unit was moved. I believe this was completed. I don't think it's likely that the former tenant would have gone to the trouble of using this connection for disposal of hazardous chemistry from the plant. I have heard of no investigation of the contents/residues in this line.

I can certainly locate the actual records (email questions from BES, and LIT Industrial's response with photographs and narrative) if you think you'll need them.

Jay

Jay Collins
Hazardous Waste Inspector
Northwest Region
Oregon DEQ
Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)
collins.jay@deq.state.or.us

From:	Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 3:42 PM **To:** COLLINS Jay < <u>Jay.COLLINS@state.or.us</u>>

Subject: RE: SoloPower followup

Jay,

I forgot to follow up on this email. Did Nick find out anything regarding the downward pipe by the metal outbuilding? — [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)]

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)
Special Agent
U.S. EPA R10
805 SW Broadway
Portland OR 97205

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

WARNING - THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY INCLUDE LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE AND/OR PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION, THE FURTHER DISTRIBUTION OF WHICH (BEYOND THE RECIPIENTS TO WHOM IT HAS BEEN ADDRESSED) MAY BE IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL INFORMATION SECURITY REGULATIONS, CIVIL AND/OR CRIMINAL LAW WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT TO RELEASE AND/OR DISSEMINATE FURTHER BY THE U.S. EPA-CID. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MAIL AND DESTROY ALL COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE AND ATTACHMENTS.

From: COLLINS Jay < jay.collins@state.or.us

Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 3:59 PM **To:** Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

Cc: COLLINS Jay < <u>Jay.COLLINS@state.or.us</u>>

Subject: SoloPower followup

Nick from City of Portland's Bureau of Env Services is checking on a last detail about the metal outbuilding and what appeared to be a discharge point. It might have been chiller condensate, he's checking.

Let me know when enough is enough or I will keep copying you.